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**Wikispooks** is an open licensed, open source encyclopedia of deep politics. This whole site is freely downloadable.[1]

Our 656 registered editors have made 20,035 pages (9,538 people, 2,691 groups, 838 events...) supplemented by 1,614 third party documents.

Wikispooks was established in 2010 as a collaborative space for the joint re-examination of recent history.[2] We research people and groups not subject to proper scrutiny by corporate media and as such, poorly treated by Wikipedia. Wikispooks is particularly focused on those official narratives which do not seem to fit the facts, such as the 9/11 event and concepts such as the "war on terror" or the "war on drugs".
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### Why Wikispooks?

*Full article: Wikispooks:Site Rationale*

We are an open source wiki, so unlike Wikipedia all changes to pages are recorded and publicly available. Wikispooks has no bias towards commercially-controlled media or such other establishment institutions - sources are welcomed to the extent that they help shed light on the murky business of deep politics, which by design aims to be difficult to fathom. As a 100% volunteer project, Wikispooks is not beholden to the special interests which define the corporate media.

### What Is Wrong With Wikipedia?

*Full article: ⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐ Wikipedia/Problems*
Wikipedia's editorial policies on "notability" and "reliability" make it little more than just one more corporate media outlet for the official (i.e. establishment-controlled) narrative, which the US Deep state's Operation Mockingbird has been targeting for decades. Moreover it is censored and as of February 2018 its robots.txt file disallowed archival programs from recording page histories, so as to obscure its censorship.

Editorial Policy

Full article: Wikispooks:Editorial Policy

Wikispooks encourages editors to be critical of the evidence presented. Unlike corporate media such as Wikipedia, this site does not have a "by domain" policy of deeming information either reliable or unreliable. Instead, evidence should be addressed on its merits. Wikispooks does not assume good faith on the part of authorities. If observable reality conflicts with the official narrative, the former deserves priority. Especially in the case of deep politics, official narratives deserve a close scrutiny which the commercially-controlled media seldom if ever gives them.[4] Wikispooks therefore does not aim for Wikipedia's (status-quo friendly) "Neutral Point of View".[5] Since newspapers and broadcasters (like governments) can and do lie with impunity, it is naive to assume mere publication of information to be a reliable indication of veracity.

Where To Start?

https://wikispooks.com/wiki/Wikispooks:About
To see what we have on a specific topic, try the box in the top right corner of this page. For casual browsing, try this list of top-rated pages or this list of pages with the most revisions. For common queries, we have an FAQ. If you feel lucky, try a Random article. If the language here is new to you, the glossary might help - and should give you an idea of the sort of material here. To ask about a specific page, use the "Discussion" tab to edit its talk page (requires a login). If you have further specific questions, you could contact a site administrator.
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Full article: Wikispooks:Acknowledgments

Wikispooks is inspired and informed by several other open source collaborative projects, including Cryptome, Wikileaks, The Deep Politics Forum, SpinProfiles, SourceWatch and last but not least, that keeper of the official narrative on the internet, Wikipedia, with which it shares a common software platform, MediaWiki.[6]
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